

Table of Contents

I Introduction	1
II Hydrologic Model Development	5
A. Hydrologic Model Description (HYDROSS)	5
B. Summary of Phase I, Part A to Phase II Model Modifications	12
C. Model Assumptions	15
1. Water Use Projections	15
a. City of Breckenridge, Minnesota	22
b. City of Drayton, North Dakota	23
c. City of East Grand Forks, Minnesota	23
d. City of Fargo, North Dakota	23
e. City of Grafton, North Dakota	27
f. City of Grand Forks, North Dakota	27
g. City of Lisbon, North Dakota	27
h. City of Moorhead, Minnesota	28
i. City of Valley City, North Dakota	28
j. City of Wahpeton, North Dakota	28
k. City of West Fargo, North Dakota	28
l. Cities Not Directly Included in the Hydrologic Model	29
m. Cargill Corn Processing Plant and Similar Future Industrial Centers	31
n. Rural Water Systems	32
2. Monthly Demand Distribution	35
3. Return Flows	36
a. Return Flows from M&I Use	36
b. Return Flows from Other Uses	36
4. River and Reservoir Operations	37
a. Lakes Orwell, Traverse, and the Upper Red River	37
b. Lake Ashtabula Operations	38
c. Lower Red River Operations	44
d. Red Lake River Operations	44
5. Channel Losses	45
6. Irrigation	48
III Model Simulations, Analysis, and Results	49
A. No Action (Baseline) Option	52
1. Existing (Year 1994) Condition Baseline	52
a. Model Run: R30K94	52
2. Future (Year 2050) Condition Baseline	59
a. Model Run: R30K50	59
b. Model Run: P30K50	63

HYDROLOGY APPENDIX - Phases IA and II

B. Action Alternatives: Single Component Features	67
1. In-Basin Features	
a. Utilizing Surface Water Supplies	67
1. Feature #1 : Additional In-Basin Storage - Enlargement of Lake Ashtabula	67
a. Model Run: R19E50	67
b. Model Run: R19F50	73
2. Feature #2: Build a New Reservoir on the Sheyenne River Near Kindred	77
a. Model Run: RKIN50F	77
b. Model Run: RKIN50E	85
3. Feature #3: Construction of a New Reservoir on the Maple River	
a. Model Run RMAP50F	91
b. Model Run RMAP50E	98
4. Feature #4: Supply Water to the Upper Red River from Maple Lake Reservoir. Based on model runs for Feature #3	103
5. Feature #5: Off-Stream Storage near Fargo Based on model runs for Feature #3	105
6. Feature #6: Purchase of Mainstem Red and Sheyenne River Surface Irrigation Water Rights for M&I Use	109
a. Model Run: RIRR50C	109
b. Utilizing Ground Water Supplies	115
1. Feature #7: Secure Additional Unappropriated Ground Water and Pump from the Spiritwood Aquifer	115
2. Feature #8: Acquire Existing Ground Water Rights by Purchasing Land from Irrigators who are Willing Sellers	115
3. Feature #9: Aquifer Water Storage and Recovery (Ground Water Recharge)	116
4. Feature #10: Build Desalinization Plants to Treat Water from the Dakota Aquifer	119
c. Reusing and Conserving Existing Supplies	120
1. Feature #11: Reuse Municipal Wastewater for Urban Irrigation for the Cities of Fargo, Moorhead, West Fargo and Grand Forks	120
a. Model Run: RRUC50C	120
2. Feature #12: Develop and Implement an Increased City Conservation Program (for Normal Operations)	130
a. Model Run: RCON50	130
3. Feature #13: Drought Contingencies - Modify Lake Ashtabula Operation to include Minimum Pool Storage for M&I Supply	135
a. Model Run: R00K50	135

HYDROLOGY APPENDIX - Phases IA and II

2. Transfers From Out-of-Basin	
a. Supplementing Surface Water Supplies.....	139
1. Features #14a and 14 b: Import Water to the Upper Sheyenne River at Mile 59 and 70 from McClusky Canal	139
a. Model Run RIMPS50.....	139
2. Feature #15: Import Missouri River Water to the Wild Rice River in North Dakota.....	145
a. Model Run RIMPR50.....	145
3. Feature #16: Import Water to the Upper Red River	
a. Feature #16a: Import Water in Pipe From South Of Bismarck to Red River near Wahpeton.....	153
b. Feature #16b: Import Water to the Upper Red River near Lisbon to the Red River near Wahpeton.	155
4. Feature #17: Importation to Rural Water Systems	158
a. Model Run RURAL50	
b. Importing Water to Existing Water Systems	
1. Feature #18: Bismarck-Fargo Pipeline	
a.. Model Run: RIMRF50.....	161.
2. Feature #19: McClusky Canal to Hillsboro Pipeline	
a.. Model Run: RIMRS50.....	167
3. Feature #20: Jamestown-Fargo Pipeline	
a. Model Run: RIMRF50.....	172
4. Feature #21: Rural Water Systems - Western Red River Valley Pipeline.....	178
C. Action Alternatives: Combination (Multi-Component) Options.....	179
1. Baseline - Year 2050 Reclamation demands under existing Conditions	
a. Model Run: BASELINE	183
2. Alternative 1 (no action) Future condition	
a. Model Run: ALT1 (without rural demands) ..	187
b. Model Run: ALT1R (with rural demands).....	191
3. Alternative 2 -In-basin Lake Kindred	
a. Model Run: ALT2R (with rural demands).....	196
4. Alternative 3 -In-basin Enlarged Lake Ashtabula	
a. Model Run: ALT3-28B (without rural demands).....	203
5. Alternative 4 -In-basin utilizing groundwater	
a. Model Run: ALT41LAR (with rural demands).....	209
6. Alternative 5 -In-basin pipeline from Bismarck to Fargo	
a. Model Run: ALT5A1R (with rural demands)	216
7. Alternative 6 -In-basin pipeline from Lake Oahe to Wahpeton	
a. Model Run: ALT6R (with rural demands).....	223
8. Alternative 7A -Import to Upper Sheyenne River - Coteau Route	
a. Model Run: ALT7ABCR (with rural demands)	229
9. Alternative 7B -Import directly to Upper Sheyenne River	
a. Model Run: ALT7ABCR (with rural demands).....	234

HYDROLOGY APPENDIX - Phases IA and II

10. Alternative 7C -Import to Upper Sheyenne River - Northern Route	
a. Model Run: ALT7ABCR (with rural demands).....	239
11. Alternative 7D -Import to Upper Sheyenne River and pipeline to Grand Forks	
a. Model Run: ALT7DR (with rural demands).....	244
12. Alternative 8 - Import with dedicated Western Red River Valley pipeline	
a. Model Run: ALT8R (with rural demands).....	249
13. Participant 2050 Demand Projection Model Runs	
a. Alternative 1 - Model Run: ALT1P	255
b. Alternative 2 - Model Run: ALT2RP	255
c. Alternative 3 - Model Run: ALT3P	258
d. Alternative 5 - Model Run: ALT5A1P	259
e. Alternative 7abc - Model Run: ALT7abcP	259

IV Summary and Recommendations

A. Results of the River Operation Studies	260
B. Recommendations	262

V References.....	281
-------------------	-----

Attachments

Attachment A: City of Fargo Projected Water Use	
Attachment B: Ground Water Supporting Material	
Attachment C: HYDROSS Model Description	
Attachment D: North Dakota Water Right Listings of the Red River	
Attachment E: North Dakota Water Right Listings of the Sheyenne River	
Attachment F: Crop Irrigation Requirement Estimates (1931-1984) for the Red River Valley	
Attachment G: Comparison/Summary of Flow Activity for all Feature HYDROSS Simulation Runs at selected flow points along the Sheyenne and Red River Valleys	
Attachment H: Annual Summary of City Shortages for Red River Valley Simulation Runs	
Attachment I: Thomas-Acker Plan Allocations	
Attachment J: Conservation Feature Demand Development	
Attachment K: South and North Rural Water Demands Computation	
Attachment L: Lake Ashtabula, Lake Kindred, and Ring-dike End of Month Content Tables and Graphical Presentation for the Drought Period of 1931-1941	
Attachment M: Average Monthly Flow Tables for each Station during the Study Period of 1931-1984 and Drought Period of 1931-1940 and Graphical Presentation for the Drought Period of 1931-1941	
Attachment N: Median Monthly Flow Tables for each Station during the Study Period of 1931-1984 and Drought Period of 1931-1940 and Graphical Presentation for the Drought Period of 1931-1941	
Attachment O: Comparison of Baseline 1994 Condition and Alternative 1 No Action with 2050 Condition Median and Average Monthly Flow at each Station	